

CIA 2-052

THE SUNDAY OREGONIAN

Approved For Release 2004-01-16 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000500030048-5  
29 January 1966

CIA 1-01 Kalske

# Senate Look At CIA Sure To Irritate LBJ

By DAVID KRASLOW

The Los Angeles Times

WASHINGTON — A hitherto unpublicized move within the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the Central Intelligence Agency promises to precipitate an explosive dispute with President Johnson.

Such an investigation of an agency that lives in the never-never land of spies and mysterious coups d'état would be one of the most sensitive ever undertaken by Congress.

All moves so far have been made behind the scenes.

Committee Chairman J. William Fulbright (D-Ark.) already has placed on the committee's tentative agenda for this year a study of the CIA's impact on foreign policy, it was learned. This was discussed at a closed door meeting of the committee.

CIA Director William F. Raborn has been advised informally of what is afoot by Sen. Eugene J. McCarthy (D-Minn.), a committee member and for years a prime advocate of closer congressional supervision of the agency's activities.

Little more than a handful in Congress are informed about the CIA in any detail. It employs thousands in Washington and around the world and the hundreds of millions it spends annually are hidden in appropriations for other government departments.

## Range Wide

Its operations have run the gamut from the routine monitoring of foreign propaganda radio broadcasts to clandestine efforts to overthrow governments.

Sen. George D. Alken of Vermont, the second-ranked Republican on the committee, foresees "massive resistance" to its proposed inquiry from the President and others in the executive branch.



### W. F. RABORN

"The confrontation with the executive branch would be quite explosive" if the issue is pressed, Aiken said.

Aiken believes the CIA "doesn't get much supervision" from Congress now and thinks the vast intelligence apparatus should be watched more closely from Capitol Hill. But he says he doesn't know how he will vote on authorizing the investigation.

McCarthy soon will make the first open move when he places before the Senate a resolution authorizing the Foreign Relations Committee to investigate the CIA.

McCarthy already appears to have the strong support of Fulbright, Sen. Wayne Morse (D-Ore.) and others on the 19-member committee. Some informed sources believe that a majority could withstand White House pressures and vote for the inquiry.

That is not yet clear, but it does seem that advocates of a more formal method of congressional supervision of the CIA are in a stronger position than ever before.

### Spot Uneasy

Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield of Montana, who is in a unique and

isolated position, may be a key factor if it ever comes to a floor test.

Mansfield went on record last year in favor of closer congressional supervision of the CIA and he is the No. 3 Democrat on the Foreign Relations Committee. But he also is majority leader, and as such is expected to protect the administration's interests in the Senate.

Mansfield was not majority leader 10 years ago when he led the unsuccessful fight in the Senate to establish a joint congressional committee to oversee the CIA.

Now, publicly at least, Mansfield is playing it close to the vest.

"I wouldn't be in a position now to make a statement," Mansfield said when asked how he would vote.

Confirming that the investigation was discussed by the committee, Mansfield said that he has tried to "keep hands off this issue since becoming majority leader."

For a decade or more, presidents have been able to thwart those who feel Congress has done a dangerously inadequate job of auditing the CIA — more so with respect to policy than the agency's finances.

Only once, in fact, have the proponents succeeded in forcing a vote. That was in 1956 on the Mansfield resolution for creating a joint watchdog committee. Mansfield lost, 59 to 27.

Voting against Mansfield was Lyndon B. Johnson, then the Senate Democratic leader. Voting for the joint committee was the late John F. Kennedy, then the junior senator from Massachusetts.

### Mind Changes

Mr. Kennedy, however, changed his mind when he became president. "I am well satisfied with the present arrangements," he said in 1963.

Presidents, jealous of their power over the CIA, and many on Capitol Hill have felt that those subcommittees adequately fulfill the congressional responsibility for overseeing executive agencies.

McCarthy and many others

present system is cursory and far too informal for overseeing such a vital part of the government.

Moreover, they feel that with the CIA's obvious involvement in foreign policy, the foreign relations committees are best suited to perform the watchdog function.

The Fulbright Committee's controversial investigation of this nation's intervention in the Dominican Republic revolution last spring accounts, in part, for the increased agitation within the committee to take a good look at the CIA.

Fulbright and some other members became convinced not only that American policy failed in the Dominican Republic but that it failed because the President was misled by faulty advice and intelligence.

The committee split sharply on this question — and not along party lines.

Fulbright's condemnation of the administration's Dominican policy also led to a substantial cooling in the personal relationship between him and the President.

Now Fulbright may be ready to square off again against Mr. Johnson on an issue which has broad meaning not only for the CIA but for the Foreign Relations Committee itself.

16 R000500030048-5